Amendment Dated: March 5, 2008

Reply to Final Office Action of: November 5, 2007

Remarks/Arguments:

In view of the above amendments and following remarks, reconsideration of the

present application is respectfully requested.

By this amendment, claims 102, 105, 108, 111 and 114-121 have been

amended and claims 123-124 have been newly added. Claims 102, 103, 105 and

107-124 are currently pending in this application. It is submitted that no new matter

has been added.

Claim 108 has been objected to because it includes a grammatical error. Claim

108 has been amended accordingly. Applicants respectfully request that the objection

to claim 108 be withdrawn.

The Examiner has rejected claims 102, 103, 105 and 107-122 under 35 U.S.C.

§ 102(b) as being anticipated by Nemirofsky (U.S. Patent 5,412,416) for the reasons

contained in paragraph 3 on pages 2-4 of the Office Action.

Without intending to acquiesce to the Examiner's aforementioned prior art

rejection and in order to expedite allowance of this application, each of independent

claims 102, 105, 108, 111 and 114-121 has been amended to more clearly distinguish

the claims over the Nemirofsky prior art reference.

In addition, in order to illustrate the differences between claimed embodiments

of the subject invention, and the cited art, Figs. A and B are provided on an enclosed

reference sheet. Fig. A represents embodiments of the subject invention. Fig. B

represents the system of Nemirofsky et al. Reference to Figs. A and B will be made

throughout the Applicants' remarks.

According to the embodiments of the present invention claimed in amended

independent claims 102, 114, 116 and 120, transmission format information that

Page 10 of 14

Amendment Dated: March 5, 2008

Reply to Final Office Action of: November 5, 2007

includes starting time information indicating a same starting time for activating content at a future point of time is repeatedly transmitted (Fig. 8 and paragraphs [0245] - [0251] of the subject specification). Similarly, according to the embodiments claimed in amended independent claims 105, 115, 117 and 121, transmission format information that includes processing term information indicating a same term for processing content at a future point of time is repeatedly transmitted. Such features are provided to account for transmission channels having a low reliability, as described in paragraph [0247] of the subject specification.

Referring to Fig. A, a transmitting apparatus repeatedly transmits transmission format information, after transmitting the content. The transmission information includes an identifier and starting time information (or processing term information). Accordingly, a receiving apparatus may receive the transmission format information at various times after receiving the content.

It is submitted that the Nemirofsky reference fails to disclose or suggest the above-mentioned features of the present invention. That is, the Nemirofsky reference does not disclose or suggest repeated transmission of control data which includes a same starting time or a same processing term because the distribution system disclosed in Nemirofsky immediately begins to play stored data in response to receiving control data. Particularly, when control data embedded in a received video signal is read according to the distribution system of Nemirofsky, it will immediately trigger a set of commands stored in memory units 64 and 66, such as record, stop record, cueing up, start play and stop play operations for the video storage bank 72 (see col. 10, lines 13-18 and col. 17, lines 10-12). For example, referring to Fig. B, the Nemirofsky reference distributes control data including a tape control command. Once the receiving site receives the control data, the receiving site immediately

Application No.: 10/626,271 MDA-2880US1

Amendment Dated: March 5, 2008

Reply to Final Office Action of: November 5, 2007

triggers commands for the received tape control and, accordingly, begins to play the

stored data.

Accordingly, it is submitted that the Nemirofsky reference clearly fails to

disclose or suggest a repeated transmission of transmission format information

including starting time information indicating a same starting time for activating

content at a future point of time, as recited in amended independent claims 102, 114,

116 and 120. Similarly, it is submitted that the Nemirofsky reference fails to disclose

or suggest a repeated transmission of transmission format information including

processing term information indicating a same term for processing content at a future

point of time, as recited in amended independent claims 105, 115, 117 and 121.

Next, according to the embodiments of the present invention claimed in

amended independent claims 108, 114, 118 and 120, content is activated based on an

identifier and a comparative result of a current time and the starting time (paragraphs

[0245] - [0251] of the subject specification). Similarly, according to the embodiments

claimed in amended independent claims 111, 115, 119 and 121, content is activated

based on an identifier and a comparative result of a current time and the term for

processing the content.

Referring to Fig. A, according to claimed embodiments of the subject invention,

a receiving unit may receive the transmission format information including an

identifier. The receiving unit may activate the previously received content by using the

received identifier and by comparing the current time and the previously received

starting time/processing term information (received as part of the transmission format

information).

It is submitted that the Nemirofsky reference clearly fails to disclose or

Page 12 of 14

Amendment Dated: March 5, 2008

Reply to Final Office Action of: November 5, 2007

suggest the above-mentioned features of the present invention. Particularly, the Nemirofsky reference does not disclose or suggest the activation of content based on any type of comparative result between a current time and either a starting time or a processing term. As described earlier and illustrated in Fig. B, the Nemirofsky system immediately triggers a set of commands upon reading control data embedded in a received video signal (see col. 10, lines 13-18 and col. 17, lines 10-12). As such, the Nemirofsky system does not need to perform a current time comparison to activate the stored data, since such a system immediately begins to activate the stored data in

Accordingly, it is submitted that the Nemirofsky reference fails to disclose or suggest that content is activated based on a comparative result of a current time and the starting time, as recited in independent claims 108, 114, 118 and 120. It is further submitted that the Nemirofsky reference fails to disclose or suggest that content is activated based on a comparative result of a current time and the term for processing the content, as recited in newly amended independent claims 111, 115, 119 and 121.

response to reading control data embedded in a received video signal.

In view of the foregoing, it is submitted that independent claims 102, 105, 108, 111 and 114-121, as well as claims 103, 107, 109, 110, 112, 113 and 122-124 dependent thereon, clearly are allowable and the Examiner is kindly requested to promptly pass this case to issuance.

Application No.: 10/626,271 MDA-2880US1

Amendment Dated: March 5, 2008

Reply to Final Office Action of: November 5, 2007

In the event that the Examiner has any comments or suggestions of a nature necessary to place this case in condition for allowance, the Examiner is kindly requested to contact the Applicants' representative in order to expedite allowance of this application.

Respectfully submitted,

RatnerPresti

Allan Ratner, Reg. No. 19,717

Attorney for Applicants

DMG/dmw

Dated: March 5, 2008

Attachment: Supporting Example

P.O. Box 980

Valley Forge, PA 19482-0980

(610) 407-0700

MJC_217617_1